## Celestial Mechanics – Solutions

Alexander V. Krivov & Tobias Stein<sup>1</sup>

## Unit 9

## Problem 9.1

(a) The Delaunay-Hamiltonian is given by

$$
\mathscr{H}=\frac{\kappa^4}{2L_1^2}+R,
$$

and since we perform a contact transformation, the new Poincaré-Hamlitonian  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  must be the same. However, we know that  $L_1 \equiv \tilde{L}_1$ , and thus,

$$
\tilde{\mathscr{H}} = \frac{\kappa^4}{2\tilde{L}_1^2} + R.
$$

Now we can *construct/choose* the momenta  $\tilde{l}_k$  such that we have a contact transformation. Inserting

$$
\tilde{L}_1 = L_1
$$
,  $\tilde{L}_2 = L_1 - L_2$ ,  $\tilde{L}_3 = L_2 - L_3$ 

into

$$
\sum_{k} (l_k \mathrm{d} L_k - \tilde{l}_k \mathrm{d} \tilde{L}_k) = 0,
$$

and expanding the sum, we find

$$
0 = l_1 dL_1 - \tilde{l}_1 dL_1 + l_2 dL_2 - \tilde{l}_2 d(L_1 - L_2) + l_3 dL_3 - \tilde{l}_3 d(L_2 - L_3).
$$

Now, we sort the differentials and obtain

$$
0 = (l_1 - \tilde{l}_1 - \tilde{l}_2)dL_1 + (l_2 + \tilde{l}_2 - \tilde{l}_3)dL_2 + (l_3 + \tilde{l}_3)dL_3.
$$

Since the  $L_k$  are pairwise independent, all the coefficients to the differentials have to vanish:

$$
0 = l_1 - \tilde{l}_1 - \tilde{l}_2,\n0 = l_2 + \tilde{l}_2 - \tilde{l}_3,\n0 = l_3 + \tilde{l}_3.
$$

Solving this set of equations from bottom to top, the result is

$$
\tilde{l}_3 = -l_3,\n\tilde{l}_2 = -l_2 - l_3,\n\tilde{l}_1 = l_1 + l_2 + l_3.
$$

<sup>1</sup> tobias.stein@uni-jena.de

Using the known Delaunay variables

$$
L_1 = \kappa \sqrt{a}, \qquad l_1 = M,
$$
  
\n
$$
L_2 = \kappa \sqrt{a(1-e^2)}, \qquad l_2 = \omega,
$$
  
\n
$$
L_3 = \kappa \sqrt{a(1-e^2)} \cos I, \qquad l_3 = \Omega,
$$

we finally find the Poincaré variables

$$
\tilde{L}_1 = \kappa \sqrt{a}, \n\tilde{L}_2 = \kappa \sqrt{a} (1 - \sqrt{1 - e^2}), \n\tilde{L}_3 = \kappa \sqrt{a (1 - e^2)} (1 - \cos I), \n\tilde{L}_3 = -\Omega.
$$
\n
$$
\tilde{L}_3 = -\Omega.
$$

(b) Planetary orbits in the solar system are almost circular (small *e*) and almost co-planar (small *I*). The advantage of the Poincaré variables is that they are more sensitive to small changes in  $e$  and  $I$  because

$$
\tilde{L}_2 \to \frac{\kappa}{2} \sqrt{ae^2} \to 0 \qquad \text{for } e \to 0,
$$
  

$$
\tilde{L}_3 \to \frac{\kappa}{2} \sqrt{a(1 - e^2)} I^2 \to 0 \qquad \text{for } I \to 0.
$$

The corresponding errors are

$$
\Delta \tilde{L}_2 \to \kappa \sqrt{a} e \Delta e \qquad \text{or} \qquad \frac{\Delta \tilde{L}_2}{\tilde{L}_2} \to 2 \frac{\Delta e}{e}
$$

and

$$
\Delta \tilde{L}_3 \to \kappa \sqrt{a(1-e^2)} I \Delta I \qquad \text{or} \qquad \frac{\Delta \tilde{L}_3}{\tilde{L}_3} \to 2 \frac{\Delta I}{I}.
$$

In contrast, for the Delaunay variables, we have

$$
L_2 \to \kappa \sqrt{a} \left( 1 - \frac{e^2}{2} \right) \approx \text{const} \qquad \text{for } e \to 0,
$$
  

$$
L_3 \to \kappa \sqrt{a(1 - e^2)} \left( 1 - \frac{I^2}{2} \right) \approx \text{const} \qquad \text{for } I \to 0.
$$

and

$$
\Delta L_2 \to \kappa \sqrt{a} e \Delta e \qquad \text{or} \qquad \frac{\Delta L_2}{L_2} \to \frac{e \Delta e}{1 - \frac{e^2}{2}} \to e^2 \frac{\Delta e}{e} \to 0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad \frac{\Delta e}{e} = \frac{1}{e^2} \frac{\Delta L_2}{L_2} \to \infty
$$

$$
\Delta L_3 \to \kappa \sqrt{a(1 - e^2)} I \Delta I \qquad \text{or} \qquad \frac{\Delta L_3}{L_3} \to I^2 \frac{\Delta I}{I} \to 0 \qquad \text{or} \qquad \frac{\Delta I}{I} = \frac{1}{I^2} \frac{\Delta L_3}{L_3} \to \infty,
$$

meaning that these variables are insensitive to small relative changes in *e* and *I* for almost circular, noninclined orbits. In turn, this is a problem for numerical calculations, where small errors in  $L_2$  and  $L_3$ (such as rounding errors) can lead to huge errors in *e* and *I*.